

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EVALUATION

Mountain View Ranch

Interstate 10 & Highway 83
Pima County, Arizona

PATTISON > EVANOFF > ENGINEERING, LLC
Project No. 05-199

*Geotechnical &
Environmental
Consultants*

**PATTISON > EVANOFF
ENGINEERING, LLC**

1129 North Winstel Boulevard
Tucson, Arizona 85716
Tel: (520) 881.1234 • Fax: 881.4919

July 15, 2005

Geotechnical Engineering
Construction Inspection
Materials Testing

Mountain View Development J.V., L.L.C.
c/o A.W. Marrs, Inc.
3573 E. Sunrise Drive, Suite 233
Tucson, AZ 85718

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EVALUATION

Project No. 05-199

Mountain View Ranch
Interstate 10 & Highway 83
Pima County, Arizona

We have completed the geotechnical evaluation for the proposed development in accordance with our Proposal Number 05-P105. Our project study results are attached.

In our opinion, the site's subsurface soil conditions are suitable for the proposed development, provided the report's recommendations are followed. Our evaluation showed predominantly gravelly sands and sandy gravels with varying amounts of silt, clay, and cobbles. Locally, compressible and expansive soils were encountered. The soil conditions and specific recommendations are presented in the report.

We are available for consultation during the various design stages. To provide continuity of geotechnical services, we should perform construction observation and testing.

We thank you for selecting PATTISON EVANOFF ENGINEERING, L.L.C. and look forward to being a member of your team on the remainder of this project. If you have any questions about this report, or require additional consultation, please call us.

Sincerely,

PATTISON > EVANOFF > ENGINEERING, L.L.C.

Geotechnical, Materials, and Environmental Services



Ralph M. Pattison, P.E.
Principal

Copies: Addressee (3)

FJJ:GeotechReports\2005\05-199

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
INTRODUCTION	
Project Information	1
Evaluation and Testing	2
Field Evaluation	2
Laboratory Evaluation	2
FINDINGS	
Site Conditions	2
Subsurface Conditions	3
Conclusions	3
Compressive Properties	3
Expansive Properties	4
RECOMMENDATIONS	
General	4
Shallow Conventional Foundations	4
Shallow Post-Tensioned or Mat Foundations	5
Important Comments Regarding Post-Tensioned Systems	7
Floor Slabs	8
Lateral Earth Pressures	9
Seismicity	10
Flexible Pavement Section	10
Retention/Detention Basins	11
Exterior Features	12
Temporary Construction Excavations	13
Slopes and Soil Erodibility	13
Surface Drainage	14
Construction Review	14
EARTHWORK	
General	15
Site Clearing	15
Excavation	15
Foundation Preparation	15
Floor Slab Preparation	16
Pavement Preparation	16
Utility Trench Backfill	16
Materials	16
Placement and Compaction	17
CLOSURE	
Additional Services	18
Limitations	18
APPENDIX	
<i>Method of Soil Classification</i>	A-1
<i>Boring Log Notes</i>	A-2
<i>Boring Logs</i>	A-3
<i>Soil Properties</i>	A-29
<i>Site and Exploration Location Plan</i>	A-30

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our geotechnical engineering services for Mountain View Ranch at Interstate 10 and Highway 83 in Pima County, Arizona. The site is in Sections 26, 27, and 35 Township 16 South, Range 16 East, of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Pima County, Arizona. The Site Plan in the Appendix shows the location of the site.

We obtained information on site conditions, performed field and laboratory testing, and performed geotechnical engineering analyses. This report presents our conclusions and recommendations regarding the engineering properties of the soils encountered and their relationship to the proposed development. Specifically, the report addresses the following information:

- ◆ General site and subsurface conditions encountered during our evaluation.
- ◆ Recommendations and design criteria for foundation systems, including allowable bearing capacity, lateral earth pressures and estimated settlements.
- ◆ Recommendations for support of concrete floor slabs.
- ◆ Recommendations for flexible pavement section.
- ◆ Recommendations for grading requirements, including site and building area preparation, fill placement, and suitability of existing soils for fill.

The Appendix contains the results of the field explorations and tests and provides a site plan showing the exploration locations.

Project Information

We understand that a 312-lot residential subdivision is planned for this 115-acre site. We assume that the houses will use wood-frame construction with concrete slab-on-grade floors. We have not been given structural details but expect that maximum wall and column loads will be less than 3 klf and 30 kips, respectively. We have not been provided with a grading plan but we assume that finished grades will be within five feet of existing grades. We completed a geotechnical report for Phase 1 of this project (PE Project Number 01-051) and a preliminary percolation report (PE Project Number 98-292).

Evaluation and Testing

To obtain information on the conditions at this site and to determine applicable soil properties, we completed an on-site evaluation. The extent of our evaluation and testing programs is described in the following section.

◆ **Field Evaluation**

Richard Jones, a Field Specialist with our firm, reviewed the site to obtain information on the general surface conditions. He also observed the excavation of 25 borings to depths ranging between 3 and 10 feet below existing site grade. The site plan shows the approximate exploration locations. The Appendix contains logs of the subsurface conditions encountered at the explorations.

During the field exploration, the subsurface conditions were described and the encountered soils were visually logged and sampled. We used the Unified Soil Classification System to classify soils. The soil classification symbols appear on the exploration logs and are briefly described in the Appendix.

◆ **Laboratory Evaluation**

We performed laboratory analyses on soil samples to aid in material classification and estimate pertinent engineering properties of the on-site soils. We performed the tests in general accordance with applicable ASTM specifications. The Appendix contains our laboratory test results.

FINDINGS

Site Conditions

The site was native undeveloped property and contained a sparse to moderate growth of mesquite, palo verde, ocotillo, prickly pear, ocotillo, barrel, yucca cacti, and brush. The ground surface was firm to hard and smooth to rocky. The topography was hilly with good drainage occurring through washes and swales.

Subsurface Conditions

The soils encountered in our explorations were generally gravelly sands and sandy gravels with varying amounts of silt, clay, and cobbles. We encountered zones of weak to strong carbonate cementation throughout the site.

We encountered auger refusal at all of our borings except borings 5, 6, 8, 14, 17, 18, and 25. Refusal was encountered at depths ranging between 3 and 9 feet. Many factors can cause or contribute to auger refusal: strongly cemented soil; coarse gravel, cobbles, or boulders; thin rock seams; the upper surface of continuous rock; or borehole confinement. Special exploration procedures are needed to determine the character and continuity of refusal. Such procedures were not within the scope of our current services.

Soil moisture contents were low at the time of our field evaluation and no free groundwater was encountered in any of the explorations. The logs in the Appendix show details of the subsurface conditions encountered during the field evaluation.

Conclusions

In our opinion, the site's natural subsurface soil and conditions are suitable for support of the proposed development provided the designers, contractors, and owners follow the report recommendations. Our conclusions regarding the soils and planned development are given in the following discussion.

◆ **Compressive Properties**

At their existing and increased moisture contents, most of the natural soils have low compressive potentials under the loads expected for the construction. There are some local areas where at their existing and increased moisture contents, the natural soils are expected to have low to moderately high compressive potentials under the loads expected for the construction. If the existing ground surface is cut or raised by two feet or more with suitable imported fill, we expect that additional preparations will likely be unnecessary. Because the degree of collapse and thickness of compressible soil can vary considerably at this site, all earthwork should be carefully monitored by experienced personnel supervised by a Geotechnical Engineer.

We expect that total settlement of the proposed structures, supported as recommended, will be less than 1 inch. Differential settlement should be approximately half of the total settlement. Most settlement is expected to occur soon after construction, although additional foundation movements could occur if water from any source infiltrates the underlying soils

◆ **Expansive Properties**

The expansive potential of the clayey natural soil varies between low to moderate. Much of these soils, therefore, may be suitable for support of the structures, especially if blended by mass grading. Clay or clayey soils having moderate expansive potential should not be used for direct support of lightly loaded elements unless the elements are reinforced to resist potential soil heave. If the existing ground surface is cut or raised by two feet or more with suitable imported fill, we expect that additional preparations will likely be unnecessary. Because the degree of expansiveness and thickness of expansive soil can vary considerably at this site, all earthwork should be carefully monitored by experienced personnel supervised by a Geotechnical Engineer.

RECOMMENDATIONS

General

All structural elements will experience at least some differential movement and the various components must accommodate this potential. We recommend that you have the Architect, the Structural Engineer, Civil Engineer, Landscaper, and all other design team members and contractors read this report and consider our comments. The basis for our comments on foundation and slab design details is primarily our experiences with recurring problems associated with many of these items.

In the following section, we provide recommendations for the supporting system that we believe are appropriate for the construction conditions. We do not intend to provide recommendations that prevent all undesirable effects resulting from structural movements. We intend to provide reasonable solutions to help control effects the soil may have on the structure.

Shallow Conventional Foundations

The proposed structures can be supported by conventional shallow, spread foundations bearing on engineered fill, natural soils, or both provided the recommendations presented in our report are followed. Engineered fill should be constructed according to the recommendations given in the *Earthwork* section of this report. The supporting system may consist of continuous wall footings and independent spread footings and slabs-on-grade. Monolithic foundations and slabs could be used provided they are properly designed and constructed.

The following table presents alternative foundation depths and allowable bearing pressures:

Footing Depth Below Finished Grade, ft. ¹	Allowable Bearing Pressure, psf ²
1	1500
1.5	1900
2	2400

¹ Finished grade is the lowest adjacent grade for perimeter footings and floor level for interior footings.

² Allowable bearing pressures depend on compliance with the Earthwork recommendations of this report.

Footings should have minimum widths of 12 inches for walls and 24 inches for columns. Governing building codes may require greater widths. A one-third increase in the bearing pressures is allowable for transient wind or seismic loads. The bearing values given are net bearing values so the weight of the concrete in the footings may be ignored.

All footings, stemwalls, and masonry walls should be reinforced to reduce the effects of potential differential movements. Reinforcement should be consistent with structural requirements and, for monolithic designs, provide continuity through the turned-down section and the floor slab to minimize the possibility of longitudinal cracking along the wall. We suggest continuous reinforcement through these areas because we frequently see cracks in the slab portions of monolithic construction parallel to the thickened beams. This cracking occurs because of differential movement between the slab and beam and insufficient reinforcing to resist the shear and flexural stresses. In our opinion, such differential movement should be expected because of the different loading conditions and potential variations in soil properties.

We recommend that the Geotechnical Engineer or his representative observe the site preparations and foundation excavations. The purpose of this review would be to determine if the soils and conditions are similar to those expected for support of the footings. Any soft, loose or unacceptable soils should be properly compacted and may require additional undercutting.

Shallow Post-Tensioned or Mat Foundations

As an alternative to a conventional foundation with a slab-on-grade, either a post-tensioned or reinforced-mat foundation and slab system could be used for the planned structures. The floor areas of these systems should, however, be supported by at least 4 inches of base course. These structural systems must be designed by a Structural Engineer, who should specify the concrete strength, concrete strength required for post tensioning, required thicknesses of elements, post-tensioning

force, and expected post tensioning cable elongation; we are providing the following parameters needed for the commonly used design methods.

- ◆ Allowable Bearing Capacity: 1000 psf at grade
 1600 psf at a depth of 1.0 foot below lowest adjacent grade
 1900 psf at a depth of 1.5 foot below lowest adjacent grade
 2500 psf at a depth of 2.0 feet below lowest adjacent grade

- ◆ Modulus of Subgrade Reaction: 200 pci

- ◆ Soil Modulus of Elasticity: 2200 psi

- ◆ Coefficient of Friction: 1.0

- ◆ Edge Moisture Variation Distance, e_m
 - Center Lift Condition 5.5 feet
 - Edge Lift Condition 3.0 feet

- ◆ Differential Soil Movement, y_m
 - Center Lift Condition 0.5 inches
 - Edge Lift Condition 0.6 inches

A one-third increase in the bearing pressure is allowable for transient wind or seismic loads. The bearing values given are net bearing values so the weight of the concrete in the footings may be ignored. The Structural Engineer should specify the concrete strength, concrete strength required for post-tensioning, required thicknesses of elements, post-tensioning force, and expected post-tensioning cable elongation.

Although post-tensioning the foundation and slabs will help close minor cracks that form during hydration, it is still beneficial to properly cure the concrete. The proper curing of concrete, especially for flatwork (slabs), is extremely important in minimizing plastic shrinkage cracks and slab curling. We believe that many slab cracking problems can be mitigated or possibly eliminated by proper curing. We strongly suggest moist-curing slabs for at least a week after placement. Curing promotes more complete hydration of the cement and reduces plastic drying shrinkage, especially near the exposed upper portion of the slab. Alternatively, moist-curing for several days and then applying a liquid membrane curing compound would also be beneficial. Also important are the mix design and quality control during construction.

All concrete placement and curing operations should follow recommendations of the American Concrete Institute manual. Improper curing and excessive slump (water-cement ratio) could cause excessive shrinkage, cracking, or curling of the concrete. Concrete slabs should be allowed to cure adequately before placing vinyl or other moisture-sensitive floor covering.

◆ **Important Comments Regarding Post-Tensioned Systems**

On the basis of our experience, it appears that many people have a misunderstanding of the performance of post-tensioned systems and the need for ground preparations. The use of a post-tensioned supporting system *does not* preclude the need for appropriate ground preparation. If soils capable of volume change underlie any shallow system, there is still the possibility of differential slab/foundation movement and damage. A post-tensioned system can merely lessen the effects of differential movement, especially to the superstructure that it supports. It does this primarily by redistributing stresses because of its higher internal strength (as compared to a conventional unreinforced slab and separate foundations). One cannot expect to design a single, specific post-tensioned system for *any* soil and loading situation and have it perform adequately under all conditions. The design should be specific to the site soils and structural loading and good construction practices should be followed.

The need for appropriate soil preparation is not diminished by using a post-tensioned system. In fact, prior to actually tensioning the cables, the system is an *unreinforced* monolithic slab/foundation, deriving all of its support directly from the soil during its critical hydration period. Subgrade preparation, subbase fill construction, base course provisions and compaction, and utility backfill compaction are all important aspects of the construction and should be done in accordance with the geotechnical report and plans.

It is also important to avoid overstressing the planned post-tensioned system (by stacking supplies or by premature construction of the superstructure) prior to gaining appropriate concrete strength and stressing the cables. These service-load-induced stresses can also adversely affect the performance of the system.


To help determine suitable phasing and types of construction activities, we should meet together with you, the structural engineer, and the appropriate subcontractors. Our intention is to help you secure a product that performs as expected.

Floor Slabs

Floor slabs may be supported on properly prepared subgrade or properly placed and compacted fill. The contractor should prepare the slab subgrade, subbase fill, and base course as outlined in the *Earthwork* section of this report. For lightly loaded slabs, a minimum 4-inch layer of base course should be provided beneath all slabs to provide more uniform support and help prevent capillary rise and a damp slab.

The slab thickness, concrete strength, and reinforcing should be designed by a Structural Engineer. We recommend that slabs supporting typical light loads be at least 4 inches thick. We believe using reinforcing steel in slabs is beneficial for minimizing cracks and strengthening the cross-section in the event tensile or flexural stresses develop. If a *nonreinforced* slab is chosen, we still suggest using steel reinforcing at least in interior or re-entrant corners.

Reinforcing should be placed diagonally across the interior projection of corners as shown in Figure 1. Reinforcement should be positioned as near the mid-height of the slab as possible while maintaining codes. Alternatively, control joints may be used for this situation as shown in Figure 1. Slabs should be jointed around columns and along footing supported walls so the slab and footings are able to settle



independently. If steel reinforcing is not used, we recommend using a fibermesh additive to the concrete to aid in controlling cracks from drying shrinkage and thermal changes.

To provide stress relief and help eliminate random cracking, we suggest providing control joints at spacings less than 12 feet. Wider joint spacings are possible depending on the slab thickness, absence or presence of reinforcing, concrete mix design, and the curing environment. The joint locations should be determined by the Structural Engineer. Joint locations should be developed considering such items as shrinkage potential, slab thickness, curing, fixed element restrictions, slab penetrations, type of floor covering, and specialized equipment placement.

The proper curing of concrete, especially for flatwork (slabs), is extremely important in minimizing plastic shrinkage cracks and slab curling. We believe that many slab cracking problems can be mitigated or even eliminated by proper curing. We strongly suggest moist-curing slabs for at least a week after placement. Curing promotes more complete hydration of the cement and reduces plastic drying shrinkage, especially near the exposed upper portion of the slab.

Alternatively, moist-curing for several days and then applying a liquid membrane curing compound would also be beneficial. Also important are the mix design and quality control during construction.

All concrete placement and curing operations should follow recommendations of the American Concrete Institute manual. Improper curing and excessive slump (water-cement ratio) could cause excessive shrinkage, cracking, or curling of the concrete. Concrete slabs should be allowed to cure adequately before placing vinyl or other moisture-sensitive floor covering. To prevent incomplete bonding, distortion, and water vapor entrapment, flooring should not be placed until the moisture content of the slab is at or below the manufacturer's requirements.

Lateral Earth Pressures

For cantilevered walls above any free water surface with level backfill and no surcharge loads, the recommended equivalent fluid pressures and coefficients of base friction are presented in the following table.

EARTH PRESSURE STATE**		EQUIVALENT FLUID PRESSURE, psf/ft
Active		
	Undisturbed Native Soil	33
	Granular Backfill	30
Passive		
	Undisturbed Native Soil	350
	Granular Backfill	450
At-rest		
	Undisturbed Native Soil	53
	Granular Backfill	50
Coefficient of Base Friction = 0.40*		

* For short retaining walls with minimal cover on the outside face, the coefficient of base friction should be reduced to 0.35 when used in conjunction with passive pressure.

We do not expect submerged soil conditions; the lateral earth pressures shown therefore do not include this condition. We should be consulted for additional recommendations if submerged conditions are to be included in the design. Any surcharge from adjacent loading will also increase the lateral pressure and must be added to the above earth pressures.

The contractor should use granular, relatively free-draining soil for retaining wall backfill to reduce the potential for hydrostatic pressure buildup. Retaining walls should be designed with a

backdrain that either drains to lower ground or to a sump with a float-activated pump. The level of this drain should be lower than the lowest retained earth behind the wall; the perforations in the drain pipe should be at least 8 inches lower than the top of any interior slabs in front of the wall.

Properly place and compact all backfill as recommended in this report. Cobbles, if present, should be removed from the soils placed adjacent to walls so high-intensity point loads do not occur. Avoid nesting of larger particles because voids could form and cause subsidence of the backfill.

Waterproof the exterior face of below-grade walls that are exposed to interior living spaces to retard moisture penetration. It is important that all backfill be properly placed and compacted. Mechanically compact all backfill in layers. Water settling or flooding is not acceptable. Care should be taken to avoid damaging the walls when placing the backfill. Backfill should be inspected and tested during placement and compaction, especially if there will be overlying elements supported by the backfill such as foundations, stairs, walls, and planters.

Seismicity

The project site is in the southeast corner of the Sonoran Seismic Source Zone, as defined in ADOT's 1992 Report No. AZ92-344, *Development of Seismic Acceleration Contour Maps for Arizona*. This zone is characterized as being tectonically stable with relatively few historic seismic events. This site has a 90% probability of not exceeding horizontal acceleration at bedrock of 0.03g in 50 years and 0.08g in 250 years. Additionally, there is a 90% probability that horizontal velocities at bedrock of 1.2 inches/second and 2.4 inches per second will not be exceeded in 50 and 250 years respectively. We recommend using a Site Class designation of C for seismic analyses using the 2000 International Building Code.

Flexible Pavement Section

For the pavement section designs, we used change in serviceability indices and percent reliabilities appropriate for the range of ADT being evaluated. The average correlated R-Value from our laboratory tests was 42 and using a seasonal variation factor of 1.7, we determined a resilient modulus of 18,960 psi.

We used surfacing and base coefficients of 0.44 for asphalt concrete and 0.12 for aggregate base course. We selected this asphalt concrete coefficient because the local suppliers produce asphalt concrete with a Marshall Stability greater than 2000 which, based on ADOT criteria, gives a maximum coefficient of 0.44 (Figure 202.02-3 of the 1989 ADOT *Preliminary Engineering and Design Manual*).

In determining pavement thickness, we used a 20-year design and vehicle breakdowns according to the Pima County DOT Subdivision Street Standards. Using the traffic, site, and soil data in the pavement design equation provided in the 1989 ADOT *Preliminary Engineering and Design Manual*, we back-calculated ADTs for structural numbers corresponding to different pavement thicknesses. The recommended pavement section alternatives are provided in the following table.

AREA	ASPHALT CONCRETE, in.	BASE COURSE, in.
ADT less than 500 vehicles per day.	2	4
ADT between 500 and 2000 vehicles per day.	Alternative	3
	Alternative	2.5
ADT more than 2000 vehicles per day.	Alternative	3
	Alternative	2.5

We should be consulted for possible supplemental recommendations if additional information showing the amounts and types of traffic becomes available. Bituminous surfacing should be dense-graded, central-plant-mix, asphalt concrete. The asphalt cement grade should be AC-30 and we recommend that the air voids be between 3 to 5 percent. Base course and asphalt concrete should conform with Pima County/City of Tucson specifications.

Special precautions should be taken during landscape irrigation installation and house-service utility connection installation to avoid having trenches open for prolonged periods. Furthermore, all such trenches should be backfilled and compacted in accordance with the recommendations in this report. The native soils can soften and lose stability if subjected to conditions which increase the water content.

The *Earthwork* section of this report presents subgrade, subbase fill, and compaction requirements. Paved surfaces should be sloped to provide drainage away from the pavement. Water should not pond in areas directly adjoining paved sections.

Retention/Detention Basins

Retention/detention basins shall be setback from structures and pavement a distance of at least 15 feet or four times the designed maximum water depth, whichever is greater. A number of factors can affect the effective seepage rate of constructed basins, some of these include the following on the next page:

- ◆ natural variations in the soil types, cementation, structure, and density across the basin
- ◆ densification of the exposed bottom during grading, to some extent unavoidable
- ◆ water storage episodes prior to completion can deposit finer-grained soils that can retard the expected seepage rates substantially.
- ◆ larger than expected storage requirements
- ◆ the types of erosion treatments within or near the basins
- ◆ lack of, or inappropriate, maintenance
- ◆ the formation of salts and other chemical soil-water alterations
- ◆ progressive reduction of the subsurface seepage rates.

Progressive reduction of the subsurface seepage rates is an inevitable consequence, even with regular removal of any fines deposited on the bottom. Water infiltrating the soils should be expected to carry fine particles. Over time, the fine particles will be filtered by the subsurface soils, filling pore space and retarding the rate of seepage. Because of the discussed uncertainties, as well as others, we suggest that such basins be designed conservatively.

Until permanent erosion protection measures are completed, such as landscaping, building construction, revetments, ground covers, and paving, temporary sediment-retention structures should be provided. Such facilities should be provided near the sediment source and preferably prior to entering drainage channels. The types of measures and locations of sediment retention structures should be determined by a study of the conditions present during construction.

Exterior Features

Exterior slabs-on-grade, exterior architectural features, and utilities may experience some movement due to the volume change of the underlying soils. The potential for movement and resulting distress could be reduced by the following measures:

- ◆ Minimizing moisture increases in the soil
- ◆ Moisture-density control during placement of soil
- ◆ Use of designs which allow vertical movement between the exterior features and adjoining structural elements
- ◆ Placement of effective control joints on relatively close centers
- ◆ Allowance for vertical movements in utility connections

Temporary Construction Excavations

Temporary unsurcharged construction excavations should be sloped or shored. Slopes should not be steeper than 1.5 to 1 (horizontal to vertical) in the natural soil. Slopes may need to be flattened depending on conditions exposed during construction. If there is not enough space for sloped excavations, shoring should be used.

Various shoring systems are possible; their selection and design, however, is beyond the scope of our current evaluation. The design of a retaining system is dependent on the construction method, the sequence of operations, and adjacent construction. The contractor's and designer's responsibilities for design and construction should be clearly defined. Exposed slopes should be kept moist (but not saturated) during construction. Traffic and surcharge loads should be at least 10 feet from the top of the excavation. All excavations should be completed in accordance with the most recent OSHA requirements.

Slopes and Soil Erodibility

Both cut and fill slopes should be 2 to 1 (horizontal to vertical) or flatter and should be covered as quickly as possible with grass or other covers such as mulch, rock mulch, or jute mesh to avoid unnecessary soil losses. We expect that channels slopes up to 12 feet high will be geometrically stable at inclinations of 1 to 1 or shallower, provided that they are lined with grouted riprap or shotcrete.

Slopes should be scraped or raked across the slopes (perpendicular to flow), unless they are *trackwalked*, to aid in providing greater infiltration rates of surface water. If the slopes are shaped by *trackwalking*, with tracked vehicles, they should be worked up and down as the tread imprints will create grooves parallel to the slope which will aid infiltration rates and trap seeds.

During construction, graded unprotected areas should retain as much natural vegetation as possible. Vegetation along the perimeters of graded areas should be left intact to control erosion and serve as a sediment trap. Exposed soil areas should be sprinkled with water during construction to reduce transportation of soil by wind. If rains are anticipated during construction, flows over the disturbed areas can be minimized by diverting upslope surface water with berms or ditches.

Erosion will increase soil loss and could cause loss of support to structures and other facilities. Periodic maintenance and prompt repair of erosional features is important to prevent unnecessary soil losses. The effectiveness of erosion control should be evaluated after heavy or prolonged rains.

Surface Drainage

A major cause of soil-related damage to structures in this region is moisture increases in the supporting soil. It is therefore extremely important to provide positive drainage away from the structures, both during construction and throughout their lives. Infiltration of water into utility or foundation excavations must be prevented.

Waterlines and sewerlines should be carefully tested and inspected for leaks prior to backfilling. Planters and other surface features that could retain water in areas adjacent to the structures should be eliminated or constructed so that accumulated water is discharged onto a positive gradient at least 5 feet from the structures. Roof rainwater, water from cooling unit condensation, and water heater drains should also be discharged onto a positive gradient at least 5 feet from the structures.

In areas where sidewalks or paving do not immediately adjoin the structures, protective slopes should be provided with an outfall of at least 3 percent for at least 5 feet from perimeter walls. Backfill against footings, exterior stemwalls, and in utility and sprinkler line trenches should be well compacted and free of all construction debris to minimize the possibility of moisture infiltration.

Some drainage facilities, such as rock-lined drainage swales, often degrade over time and become inefficient or ineffective. The potential harmful effects of water infiltrating the supporting soils beneath the structures must be made clear to the owners.

Construction Review

The Geotechnical Engineer or his representative *must* observe the site preparations and foundation bearing conditions. The purpose of this review would be to determine if the soils and conditions are similar to those expected for support of the foundations. Subgrade preparation and engineered fill construction supporting structural elements is considered *Special Inspection* and must be completed under the *continuous* supervision of the Geotechnical Engineer. Any soft, loose or unacceptable soils should be properly compacted and may require supplemental recommendations.

We recommend surveying the finished floor elevation of all slabs-on-grade and pavement and maintaining this record. In the event of future movement, this information could be extremely helpful in assessing the conditions and providing remedial measures.

EARTHWORK

General

Our recommendations for foundations, slabs, and pavement supported on compacted fills or prepared subgrade depend on compliance with the recommendations presented in this section. Observation and testing of earthwork, supervised or performed by a geotechnical engineer, is necessary to assess compliance with these recommendations.

During our field evaluation we did not observe any underground facilities such as septic tanks, cesspools, basements and utilities. However, such features may exist.

Site Clearing

Strip and remove existing fill, vegetation, debris, loose or wet soil and other deleterious materials from the building areas and at least 5 feet beyond. The contractor should remove any remaining construction from the proposed building areas. If pipes and other underground structures are not removed, they may serve as conduits for subsurface erosion resulting in voids and possible settlement of overlying facilities. Over-excavated areas resulting from removal of underground facilities and unsuitable materials should be backfilled as recommended in this report. All exposed surfaces should be free of mounds and depressions that could prevent uniform compaction.

Excavation

Shallow excavations in the soils we encountered during our evaluation should be possible with conventional equipment. The speed and ease of excavating will depend on the type of grading equipment, the skill of the operators and the structure of the deposit. If more information regarding excavation is desired, we suggest a study using equipment similar to that expected for the actual construction. The information contained in this report is intended for design and preliminary estimating purposes. Contractors reviewing the report must draw their own conclusions regarding the types of equipment and methods required to complete the construction.

Foundation Preparation

Specialized treatment of the existing *undisturbed* natural soils in foundation areas is expected to be unnecessary. However, some areas may require overexcavation, compaction or both.

Foundation excavations must be reviewed by the Geotechnical Engineer or his representative prior to placing reinforcing steel and concrete to determine if the soils and conditions are as expected.

The contractor should construct the engineered fill in a manner resulting in *uniform* water contents and densities after compaction. All engineered fill should be constructed according to

the report requirements. The contractor should notify the Geotechnical Engineer if the soil conditions vary significantly from those shown in this report or if there are any questions regarding the type of soil or its condition.

Floor Slab Preparation

The contractor should scarify and recompact the exposed subgrade soil to a depth of at least 10 inches. This includes areas to be filled and exposed cut-to-grade areas. The contractor should notify the Geotechnical Engineer if the soil conditions vary significantly from those shown in this report or if there are any questions regarding the type of soil or its condition.

The contractor should construct the engineered fill in a manner resulting in *uniform* water contents and densities after compaction. Place and compact at least four inches of base course beneath interior slabs to provide more uniform support and help prevent a damp slab. This four-inch thickness of base course may be included in the required amount of engineered fill.

Pavement Preparation

Scarify, moisten or dry as required, and compact exposed subgrade to a depth of at least 10 inches prior to placing pavement materials.

Utility Trench Backfill

Utility trenches within and beyond the building pads should be made as narrow as possible to reduce the potential for settlement of overlying slabs and other structures. The practice of digging wide trenches for the convenience of plumbers and electricians should be avoided, unless such trenches are carefully backfilled in lifts compacted to 95 percent of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (ASTM D-698).

Materials

Imported soils and existing granular soils with low expansive potentials and all particles passing the 6-inch sieve may be used as fill material for the following areas:

- ◆ Foundation areas
- ◆ Interior slab areas
- ◆ Pavement areas
- ◆ Backfill

Imported soils should conform to the following requirements:

IMPORT SOIL PROPERTIES	
SIEVE SIZE	PERCENT PASSING, by dry weight
6"	100
No. 4	50-100
No. 200	40 max.
Maximum Expansive Potential = 1.5%*	
Maximum Soluble Sulfates = 0.10%	

*Measured on a sample compacted to approximately 95 percent of the ASTM D698 maximum dry density at about three percent below optimum water content.

The sample is confined under a 100 psf surcharge and submerged

Aggregate base course below concrete floor slabs should conform to the following requirements:

AGGREGATE BASE COURSE	
SIEVE SIZE	PERCENT PASSING, by dry weight
1"	100
3/4"	90 to 100
1/4"	45 to 75
No. 200	2 to 10
Plasticity Index = 5 max.	
The sum of PI and percent passing 200 should be at least 5	

Placement and Compaction

The contractor should place and compact fill in horizontal lifts, 8 to 10 inches in loose thickness, using equipment and procedures that will produce the recommended moisture contents and densities throughout the lift. When lighter hand-held compaction equipment is used, the loose lift thickness should be 4 to 6 inches.

Materials should be compacted to the following standards at near optimum moisture contents. Depending on the actual soils and compaction equipment, compactive moisture contents may need to change to avoid or limit soil yielding or pumping.

Soil Type and Area	Minimum Percent Compaction, ASTM D-698
On-site subgrade soils, on-site soils as subbase fill, and imported soils*	
Below foundations**	95
Below slabs-on-grade	95
Below pavement	95
Base Course below slabs	95
Base Course below pavement	100
Non-structural backfill, <i>not providing lateral or vertical support of structural elements</i>	90

* Fill 5 feet or more below finished grade should be compacted to at least 100 percent of ASTM D-698.

** Undisturbed natural soils below foundations do not require compaction.

CLOSURE

Additional Services

Field observation and testing during construction, and reviewing the plans and specifications are integral factors in developing and implementing our conclusions and recommendations. Our involvement during construction is important to observe compliance with the design concepts, specifications, or recommendations, and to allow efficient design changes if the subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated. PATTISON EVANOFF ENGINEERING, L.L.C. offers these services and is the most qualified to determine consistency of field conditions with the data used in our analyses. It is the client's responsibility to make this report available, in its entirety, to all design team members, contractors, and owners.

Limitations


The services we performed for this project include professional opinions and judgments based on the data collected. We performed our professional services using the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable geotechnical engineers practicing in southern Arizona. We do not intend to provide recommendations that prevent all undesirable effects resulting from structural movements. We intend to provide reasonable solutions to help control effects the soil may have on the structure. We make no other warranty, expressed or implied.

We prepared the report as an aid for the design of the project. This report is not a bidding document and any contractors reviewing it must draw their own conclusions regarding site conditions and specific construction techniques to be used on this project.

Our services did not include any environmental assessment or investigation for the presence or absence of hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, groundwater, or air, on or below or around, this site. All conditions documented or observed are strictly for the information of our client. If environmental information is required, we recommend that an environmental assessment be completed which addresses these concerns.

We based our recommendations on the assumption the soil and groundwater conditions across the site are similar to those encountered at the exploration locations. The extent and nature of subsurface soil and groundwater variations may not be evident until construction. If conditions encountered during construction appear to differ from those described in this report, we should be consulted to assess the impact and provide supplemental recommendations. Our evaluation and report does not include the effects, if any, of underlying geologic hazards or regional groundwater withdrawal and we express no opinion regarding their effects on surface movement.

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM
CLASSIFICATION PROCEDURE
ANY SOIL



PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS

GRAIN SIZE CHART

CLASSIFICATION	U.S. Standard Sieve Size
BOULDERS	Above 12"
COBBLES	12" to 3"
GRAVEL	3" to No.4 3" to 3/4" 3/4" to No.4
SAND	No.4 to No.200 Coarse No.4 to No.10 Medium No.10 to No.40 Fine No.40 to No.200
SILT & CLAY	Below No. 200


Coarse Grained Scale
(50% retained on #200 sieve)

ADJECTIVE	%
trace	0-10
some	10-20
with	20-30
"-y" or "-cy"	30-50

P = poorly graded
W = well graded

P.I.	ADJECTIVE
< 1	non-plastic
1-10	low plasticity
11-25	medium plasticity
>25	high plasticity

FINE GRAINED SOILS
(50% passing #200 sieve)



L = low compressibility
H = high compressibility

The number shown in **Boring No.** refers to the approximate location of the same number shown on the **Site Plan** as positioned in the field by pacing from property lines and/or existing features.

The number shown in **Blows/6"** refers to the number of blows of a 140-pound weight dropped 30 inches, required to advance the sampler. **H** in **Sample Type** is a hand sample from the auger cuttings. **RS** in **Sample Type** is a 2.42-inch-inside-diameter ring sampler. Refusal to penetration for the ring sampler is considered more than 50 blows per foot. **SS** in **Sample Type** is a 2.0-inch-outside-diameter split-spoon sampler. This sampler is used to perform the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) ASTM D1586. Refusal to penetration is considered to be one of the following items: 1. A total of 50 blows has been applied during any one of the three 6-inch increments; 2. A total of 100 blows has been applied; 3. There is no observed advance of the sampler during application of 10 successive blows of the hammer.

USCS Code refers to the soil type as defined by the **Unified Soil Classification System**. The soils were visually classified in the field and, where appropriate, classifications were modified by visual examination of samples in the laboratory and by appropriate test.

These notes and boring logs are intended for use in conjunction with the purposes of our services defined in the text. Boring log data should not be construed as part of the construction plans or as defining construction conditions.

Boring logs depict our interpretations of subsurface conditions at the locations and on the date(s) shown. Variations in subsurface conditions and soil characteristics may occur between borings. Groundwater levels may fluctuate due to seasonal variations and other factors.

In general, terms and symbols on the boring logs conform with "**Standard Definitions of Terms and Symbols Relating to Soil and Rock Mechanics**" (ASTM D653).

PATTISON > EVANOFF > ENGINEERING, L.L.C.

Geotechnical & Environmental Consultants

BORING LOG NOTES

Mountain View Ranch
Interstate 10 & Highway 83
Pima County, Arizona

Project No. 05-199

FJJ

15July05

Page A-2

PATTISON > EVANOFF
ENGINEERING, INC.

*Geotechnical Engineering
 Construction Inspection
 Materials Testing*

BORING NUMBER

B-1

SHEET 1 OF 1

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location of Boring:

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

SEE SITE PLAN

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:			
						Logged By: R.J. Date: 05/31/05			
						Scattered gravel and cobbles - hard			
						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS			
H				0	SC	GRAVELLY SAND, some clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity. 10-25% cobbles			
SS	26 35 15/1	13/6		1		Light brown, weak cementation			
				2					
				3	GP	SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; light brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 20-35% cobbles and possible boulders			
				4		AUGER REFUSAL AT 4 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key:
 SS = Split Spoon
 RS = Ring Sample
 H = Hand Sample

Drilling Equipment:

Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-2 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	---

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 05/31/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel and cobbles - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H				0	GP	SANDY GRAVEL, trace to some and clay; light brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity, 25-40% cobbles and possible boulders. weak cementation			
				1	GC				
				2		AUGER REFUSAL AT 3 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				3					
				4					
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-4 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	---

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation: _____ Datum: _____		DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J. Date: 05/31/05			
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Rocky, hard, rock outcrops and embedded boulders			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H	S2	27 50/6		0	SC	GRAVELLY SAND, some clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity, 15-30% cobbles Mottled brown and white, weak cementation			
				1					
SS	50/5	5/0		2		25-40% cobbles and possible boulders			
				3					
				4		AUGER REFUSAL AT 6.6 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-5 Sheet 1 of 1
--	---	---

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

Location of Boring:

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation: _____ Datum: _____		DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/02/05		
						Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel and cobbles - hard			
						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS			
H				0	SC	GRAVELLY SAND with clay; brown, dry, dense, low plasticity, 10-25% cobbles			
SS	29 50/4	10/6		1					
				2	SC	GRAVELLY SAND/SANDY GRAVEL, some clay; very light brown, dry, dense, low to medium plasticity, 15-30% cobbles, possible boulders, moderate to strong cementation			
				3	GC				
				4					
SS	31 27 23/3	15/12		5	GP	SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 20-35% cobbles, possible boulders			
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10		BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 10 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-7 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	---

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation: _____ Datum: _____		DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/02/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel, hard						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS			
H				0	SM				
				1	SC				
SS	17 50/6	12/0		2	GP	SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 20-35% cobbles, possible boulders			
				3					
AUGER REFUSAL AT 3 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>									
				4					
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-8 Sheet 1 of 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring:
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation: _____ Datum: _____		DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/02/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel, hard						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS			
H				0	SC				
RS	20 30/5	11/11		1					
				2		GRAVELLY SAND, some silt; light brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 10-25% cobbles, moderate cementation			
				3	SM				
RS	50/6	6/4		4		BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 10 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-10 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/02/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel and cobbles - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS.									
H				0	SC	GRAVELLY SAND with clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity, 10-25% cobbles			
RS	27 23/3	9/5		1		Mottled brown and white, medium plasticity, weak cementation			
				2					
				3					
				4					
				5					
				6		AUGER REFUSAL AT 6 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-11 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/02/05		
						Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel and cobbles - hard			
						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS			
H				0	SM	GRAVELLY SAND. some silt; brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 10-25% cobbles			
				1					
				2					
				3		Light brown, moderate cementation			
				4		AUGER REFUSAL AT 4 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-12 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

Location of Boring:
SEE SITE PLAN

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/03/05		
						Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel - hard			
						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS			
H				0	GM	SANDY GRAVEL, some silt/clay; brown, dry, dense, low plasticity, 20-35% cobbles and possible boulders			
SS	18	12/12		1	GC				
	50/6			2		Light brown, moderate to strong cementation			
				3					
				4		AUGER REFUSAL AT 4.5 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-13 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)					
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/03/05							
						Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel - hard								
						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS								
H				0	GP GM	SANDY GRAVEL, trace to some silt; brown, dry, dense, low plasticity. 10-25% cobbles Light brown, strong cementation								
SS	50/4	4/4		1										
				2										
				3										
				4										
SS	50/6	6/0		5										
AUGER REFUSAL AT 5.5 FEET										No Free Water Encountered				
				6										
				7										
				8										
				9										
				10										
				11										
				12										
				13										
				14										
				15										
				16										
				17										
				18										
				19										
				20										
				21										
				22										
				23										
				24										

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF
ENGINEERING, INC.

*Geotechnical Engineering
 Construction Inspection
 Materials Testing*

BORING NUMBER

B-15

SHEET 1 OF 1

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

Location of Boring:

SEE SITE PLAN

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H				0	GM	SANDY GRAVEL, some silt; brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 15-30% cobbles			
SS	31	10/10		1					
	50/4			2		Light brown, moderate to strong cementation			
				3					
				4					
SS	50/4	4/4		5					
				6					
				7					
				8		AUGER REFUSAL AT 7.5 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key:
 SS = Split Spoon
 RS = Ring Sample
 H = Hand Sample

Drilling Equipment:

Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger

PATTISON > EVANOFF
ENGINEERING, INC.

*Geotechnical Engineering
 Construction Inspection
 Materials Testing*

BORING NUMBER

B-16

SHEET 1 OF 1

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

Location of Boring:

SEE SITE PLAN

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H				0	SC	GRAVELLY SAND with clay; brown, dry, medium dense to dense, medium plasticity, 10-25% cobbles			
SS	18	18/8		1	GC	SANDY GRAVEL, some clay; light brown, dry, dense, low plasticity, 15-30% cobbles, possible boulders, moderate to strong cementation			
	20								
	30			2		AUGER REFUSAL AT 4 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				3					
				4					
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key:
 SS = Split Spoon
 RS = Ring Sample
 H = Hand Sample

Drilling Equipment:

Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-17 Sheet 1 of 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring:
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation: _____ Datum: _____		DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J. Date: 06/06/05			
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel - hard									
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H				0	SC	CLAYEY SAND with gravel and clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity			
RS	21 29	12/12		1 2	SM	GRAVELLY SAND, some silt; brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 10-25% cobbles, weak cementation		4.0	
SS	34 50/5	11/6		3 4 5	SC	GRAVELLY SAND, some clay; brown, dry, dense, low to medium plasticity, 10-25% cobbles			
BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 10 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>									
				6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-18 Sheet 1 of 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

Location of Boring:

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation: _____ Datum: _____		DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J. Date: 06/06/05			
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel - hard						DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS			
H				0	SC	CLAYEY SAND with gravel; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity			
RS	50/6	6/3		1					
				2	GC	SANDY GRAVEL, some clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity, 15-30% cobbles			
				3					
				4					
SS	50/4	4/4		5	GP	SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; light brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 15-30%% cobbles, weak cementation			
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10		BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 10 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF
ENGINEERING, INC.

*Geotechnical Engineering
 Construction Inspection
 Materials Testing*

BORING NUMBER

B-19

SHEET 1 OF 1

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

Location of Boring:

SEE SITE PLAN

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H				0	SC	CLAYEY SAND with gravel; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity			
				1					
RS	50/6	6/5		2	GC	SANDY GRAVEL, some clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity, 15-30% cobbles			
				3					
				4		AUGER REFUSAL AT 3.5 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

<p>Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample</p>	<p>Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger</p>
---	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-20 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation: _____ Datum: _____		DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel - hard									
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
RS	50/6	6/5		0	CL	SANDY CLAY with gravel; brown, dry, dense, high plasticity			
				1					
				2	GC	SANDY GRAVEL, some clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity, 15-30% cobble, weak to moderate cementation			
				3					
				4					
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9		AUGER REFUSAL AT 8.5 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-21 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
RS	16 16	12/12		0	SM	GRAVELLY SAND with silt/clay; brown, dry, medium dense to dense, nonplastic, to low plasticity		87	4.5
				1	SC				
				2		SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; light brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 15-30% cobbles			
				3	GP				
				4		AUGER REFUSAL AT 4 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-22 SHEET 1 OF 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring: SEE SITE PLAN
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks: Scattered gravel - hard									
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
SS	11 15 19	18/14		0	SM	GRAVELLY SAND, some silt; brown. medium dense to dense, nonplastic, 10-25% cobbles			
				1					
				2					
				3					
SS	20 28 22/4	16/8		4	SP GP	GRAVELLY SAND/SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; light brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 15- 30% cobbles			
				5					
				6					
				7					
AUGER REFUSAL AT 9 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF
ENGINEERING, INC.

*Geotechnical Engineering
 Construction Inspection
 Materials Testing*

BORING NUMBER

B-23

SHEET 1 OF 1

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

Location of Boring:

SEE SITE PLAN

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVERD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
SS	27 19 9	18/0		0	SP	GRAVELLY SAND, trace silt: brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 10-25% cobbles			
				1					
				2					
				3					
				4					
				5		AUGER REFUSAL AT 4 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
24									

Sample Type Key:
 SS = Split Spoon
 RS = Ring Sample
 H = Hand Sample

Drilling Equipment:

Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem.
 continuous flight auger

PATTISON > EVANOFF ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Geotechnical Engineering</i> <i>Construction Inspection</i> <i>Materials Testing</i>	BORING NUMBER B-24 Sheet 1 of 1
--	---	--

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC	
Project: Mountain View Ranch	Location of Boring:
Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83	

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVERD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H				0	SM	GRAVELLY SAND, trace to some silt; brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 10-25% cobbles			
				1	SP				
SS	50/6	6/6		2	GP	SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; brown, dry, dense, nonplastic, 15-30% cobbles, possible boulders			
				3					
				4		BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 3.5 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>			
				5					
				6					
				7					
				8					
				9					
				10					
				11					
				12					
				13					
				14					
				15					
				16					
				17					
				18					
				19					
				20					
				21					
				22					
				23					
				24					

Sample Type Key: SS = Split Spoon RS = Ring Sample H = Hand Sample	Drilling Equipment: Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger
--	--

PATTISON > EVANOFF
ENGINEERING, INC.

*Geotechnical Engineering
 Construction Inspection
 Materials Testing*

BORING NUMBER

B-25

Sheet 1 of 1

Client: Mountain View Development J.V., LLC

Project: Mountain View Ranch

Location of Boring:

Location: Interstate 10 and Highway 83

SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	INCHES DRIVEN/ INCHES RECOVD	BULLNOSE BLOWS/FT	DEPTH (FEET)	USCS CODE	Elevation:	Datum:	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%)
						Logged By: R.J.	Date: 06/06/05		
Subsurface Conditions or Remarks:						Scattered gravel - hard			
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS									
H				0	SC	GRAVELLY SAND, some clay; brown, dry, dense, medium plasticity, 10-25% cobbles			
SS	26 31 19/3	15/10		1 2 3					
SS	34 50/6	12/12		4 5 6	SP GP	GRAVELLY SAND/SANDY GRAVEL, trace silt; light brown, dry, nonplastic, 10-24% cobbles			
BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 10 FEET <i>No Free Water Encountered</i>									
				7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24					

Sample Type Key:
 SS = Split Spoon
 RS = Ring Sample
 H = Hand Sample

Drilling Equipment:
 Mobile B-53 Drill Rig equipped with 6 5/8" OD x 3 1/4" ID hollow stem, continuous flight auger

Boring No.	Depth, ft.	Initial Dry Density, pcf	Initial Water Content, %	Compression Properties		Expansion Properties	
				Applied Pressure, ksf	Total Compression, %	Applied Pressure, ksf	Total Expansion, %
3	0-1.5	111**	10.5			0.1*	0.9
8	1.5-2.5	105	6	1.5	1.7		
				1.5*	6.3		
				0.1*	5.7		
14	1.5-2.5	103	3.4	1.5	3.3		
				1.5*	8.4		
				0.1*	8.0		
17	1.5-2.5		4.0				
18	0-1.5	97**	17.3			0.1*	6.7
19	0-1.5	106**	12.8			0.1*	8.1
20	0-1.5	97**	17.3			0.1*	7.2
21	0-1.5	87	4.5	1.5	3.9		
				1.5*	12.6		
				0.1*	12.4		

* Sample Inundated With Water

** Sample remolded to approximately 95% of ASTM D698 at about 3% below optimum moisture.

PATTISON > EVANOFF > ENGINEERING, L.L.C.

Geotechnical & Environmental Consultants

SOIL PROPERTIES

**Mountain View Ranch
Interstate 10 & Highway 83
Pima County, Arizona**


Sample Location	Depth, ft	Soil Class.	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	Percent Passing No. 200 Sieve
1	0-1.5	SC	35	16	17
2	0-1.5	GP-GC	29	11	8
3	0-1.5	SC	31	14	19
4	0-1.5	SC	31	13	15
5	0-1.5	SC	23	9	22
6	0-1.5	SC	24	11	24
8	0-1.5	SC	38	21	28
10	0-1.5	SC	38	24	21
13	0-1.5	GP-GM	--	NP	7
14	0-1.5	SC	27	13	29
16	0-1.5	SC	27	14	8
18	0-1.5	SC	40	18	48
19	0-1.5	SC	40	18	36
20	0-1.5	CL	44	30	56
25	0-1.5	SM	37	17	18

PATTISON > EVANOFF > ENGINEERING, L.L.C.


Geotechnical & Environmental Consultants

SOIL PROPERTIES

Mountain View Ranch
Interstate 10 & Highway 83
Pima County, Arizona



 BORING LOCATIONS



PATTISON > EVANOFF > ENGINEERING, L.L.C.

Geotechnical & Environmental Consultants

SITE AND EXPLORATION LOCATION PLAN

Mountain View Ranch
 Interstate 10 & Highway 83
 Pima County, Arizona

Project No. 05-199

FJJ

15July05

Page A-30